

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/655,336	09/05/2000	Tomoko Ueda	1422-437P	9668	
75	90 04/11/2002				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P O Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			WANG, SH	WANG, SHENGJUN	
rans Church, v	A 22040-0747				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002	D	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/655,336	UEDA ET AL.			
Havisory Housen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shengjun Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	=				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amounted the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8,11,16-18 and 21-26</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9,10 and 12	<u>-15</u> .				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	 a)□ approved or b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		•			
10. Other:	(2), (3)	RUSSELL TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/655,336

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments would have effectively distinguish the employed composition in the claims from tea, and would substantially change the scope of the claims. Therefore, the proposed amended claims would require further consideration and search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior offcie action. Particularly, the proposed amendments would require further consideration and new search. Regarding claims 23-26, note in general it is true that a "isolate" compound is distinct from naturally occuring composition containing the compound. However, here applicants expand the scope of "isolate" to encompass "crudely purified" or "extract", making the claimed invention read on tea (theanine extract) or tea product (crudely purified).